

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,663	07/11/2001	Hiroshi Asahina	Q65417	7850
. 75	590 03/11/2005		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			JUNG, MIN	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  ART UNIT PA			PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				4Vk				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
		09/901,663	ASAHINA, HIROSHI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Min Jung	2663					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
THE - External after aft	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cr r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 July 2001.						
		This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	•					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		•					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
· -	Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
· · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		to by the Examiner.					
ŕ	Applicant may not request that any objection t		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		, ,					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be	Application No					
* 0	application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for	, ,,,	ot received					
	see the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies n	or received.					
Attachmen	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infon	the of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date 12-5-03.		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
			•					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ludwig et al. patent, 6,697,352 (Ludwig).

Ludwig discloses a communication device and method in which data packet layer-to-layer processing is shown.

Specifically, regarding claims 12-15, Ludwig shows (following the recitation in claim 14) an interworking apparatus (MSC/IWF of Fig. 6, and the functions shown) operable in accordance with a radio communication protocol (RLP) which defines use of a plurality of radio channels variable in number (a plurality of radio channels are inherent in Ludwig because MSC and BTS are taught and they are known to handle a plurality of multiplexed radio channels) and another protocol different from the radio communication protocol (PPP, col. 9, lines 48-54) comprising: framing means for framing frames on an upper layer on the basis of another protocol (imbedding means 3 shown in fig. 8, col. 10, lines 18-28, and also see Fig. 5 for encapsulation); memory means for storing frames (output buffer 5); and transmitting means for transmitting the stored frames through the radio channel (inherent form the network shown in Fig. 6 or

Application/Control Number: 09/901,663 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

Fig. 10). What Ludwig fails to specifically teach is a memory means for individually and separately storing each of the frames one by one in correspondence with the plurality of the radio channels. Ludwig, however, teaches separate queues in the output buffer into which respective packets of layer n can be placed. See col. 11, lines 12-14. These separate queues are not for handling plurality of radio channels, but for handling different modes of transmission. However, it is apparent that Ludwig teaches employing a plurality of multiplexed channels in a network interworking radio communication protocol (RLP) and another different protocol (PPP), and Ludwig also teaches a plurality of different queues for storing frames. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Ludwig's teaching by employing separate memory spaces for storing each of the frames corresponding to the plurality of channels to provide canalized framing of data packets.

Further, regarding claims 1-4, Ludwig teaches the communication system and method which includes the functions of the interworking apparatus as addressed above, and further includes the functions of framing IP frames into PPP frames (Fig. 5, col. 2, lines 23-27). Again, what Ludwig fails to specifically teach is the transmitting frame memory means, which are assigned to the radio links, respectively, for storing the PPP frames one by one, respectively. Ludwig, however, teaches separate queues in the output buffer into which respective packets of layer n can be placed. See col. 11, lines 12-14. These separate queues are not for handling plurality of radio channels, but for handling different modes of transmission. However, it is apparent that Ludwig teaches employing a plurality of multiplexed channels in a network interworking radio

Art Unit: 2663

communication protocol (RLP) and another different protocol (PPP), and Ludwig also teaches a plurality of different queues for storing frames. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Ludwig's teaching by employing separate memory spaces for storing each of the frames corresponding to the plurality of channels to provide canalized framing of data packets.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 7-11 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Oh et al patent, the Lioy et al. patent, the Seo patent, the Lee et al. patent, the Lim patent, and the Feder et al. patent are cited for further references.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday, Friday 7:30 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,663

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ March 5, 2005 Min Jung

Primary Examiner

Page 5